ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The Impact of General Anesthetic Technique Over Subarachnoid Block in Oocyte Retraction on the Outcome of In Vitro Fertilization

*Shariful Islam Seraji¹, K.M. Muzibul Haque², Samar Chandra Saha³, F.M. Anamul Haque⁴, Md. Abdur Rahman⁵, A.M. Delwar Hossain⁶, Ayesha Nigar Nur⁷

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47648/jmsr.2023.v3502.02

Abstract:

Background: The management of discomfort and anxiety during oocyte retrieval makes anesthesia a crucial component of in vitro fertilization (IVF) treatments. Numerous research studies have looked at the impact of anesthetics on IVF's effectiveness. Objective: To evaluate the effect of anesthetic technique in oocyte pickup on the outcome of in vitro fertilization. Materials and method: This study is based on retrospective data gathered over 1 year and 6 months, from June 2022 to January 2024—patients' records from hospitals where OPU was performed under general anesthesia and SAB were analyzed. The research was conducted at the IVF Unit of the Anam IVF Fertility Centre, Bangladesh. A total of 206 patients were included in this study as a sample size. Every participant had been unable to conceive for at least a year. Every patient's file underwent an analysis done in retrospect.

The human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) type (recombinant or urine) and the occurrence of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) were all documented. The gonadotropin type was recombinant folliclestimulating hormone [r-FSH] and/or urinary FSH [u-FSH]. Results: The mean ages for SAB and GA were 31.94 ± 5.91 and 31.73 ± 4.81 , respectively. Age, BMI, infertility duration, previous IVF cycle (if utilized), and baseline hormone levels were distributed equally across the groups. The duration of anesthesia was 24.16 ± 8.49 minutes for the GA group and 27.28 ± 12.15 minutes for the SAB group. Conclusion: According to some studies, acupuncture may help increase IVF success. However, other studies did not see similar results. Further research should be done because there are still questions regarding the ideal application window and the underlying mechanism of action.

Key words: IVF outcome, Anesthesia, Fertilization, Reproductive techniques, GA, SAB

- 1. Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology & ICU, Holy Family Red Crescent Medical College Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 2. Professor & Head, Department of Anesthesiology & ICU, Holy Family Red Crescent Medical College Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 3. Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology & ICU, Holy Family Red Crescent Medical College Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 4. Chief consultant, Anam Fertility Centre, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 5. Medical Officer, Department of Anesthesiology & ICU, Holy Family Red Crescent Medical College Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 6. Medical Officer, Department of Anesthesiology & ICU, Holy Family Red Crescent Medical College Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 7. Assistant Professor, Department of Obs & Gynae, Holy Family Red Crescent Medical College Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

*Corresponding Author: serajidmc@gmail.com

Introduction:

The term "assisted reproductive techniques" (ART) refers to procedures that employ artificial or partially artificial ways to bring about pregnancy. The majority of ART therapies in recent decades have been for infertility issues¹. The most common kind of ART is in vitro fertilization (IVF), which allows

the fertilization of male and female gametes (sperm and eggs) to take place outside of the female body. The five stages listed below are part of it². Ovarian stimulation: To increase egg production, women are given medications (fertility drugs) that cause their ovaries to release more eggs than usual. Follicular aspiration and egg collecting: Using a USG-guided scan, this minor procedure is intended to extract the woman's eggs from her body (transvaginal oocyte retrieval)³. The practitioner inserts a tiny needle into the ovary and egg-carrying sacs (follicles) through the vagina. The apparatus that suctions the eggs out of each follicle one at a time is attached to the needle⁴.

The highest quality sperm and eggs are kept in a chamber with regulated environmental conditions. Fertilization is the process of a sperm entering an egg⁵. If there is a low likelihood of fertilization, the sperm may be injected straight into the egg. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) is what this is known as. Embryo culture: The divided fertilized egg develops into an embryo. Transferring the embryo back into the female's uterus is step five, such as 3-5 days after follicular aspiration; while the lady is still conscious, embryos are implanted into her womb⁶.

The physician inserts a tube holding the embryos up into the woman's womb through her vagina. Pregnancy ensues when an embryo implants and matures within the womb's lining.

For IVF to be successful, a variety of IVF phases, including controlled ovarian stimulation (COS), oocyte pick-up (OPU), fertilization, embryo transfer, and implantation, must go well. Oocyte quality affects fertilization, embryo quality, and implantation, so the OPU procedure is crucial. Follicles carrying cumulus-oocyte complexes and follicular fluid are aspirated during the procedure⁷. The OPU technique is uncomfortable even though it is minimally invasive. As a result, it is frequently carried out under anesthesia⁸.

In most IVF centers, general anesthesia and SAB are utilized for OPU⁹. However, among clinicians performing OPU, there is no agreement on the kind of anesthetic agent to be used. The most popular anesthetic during OPU is intravenous propofol in GA, which is premedicated with either midazolam or fentanyl, which are anxiolytics and analgesics, respectively¹⁰. Short-acting anesthetics like propofol during GA have quick induction and recovery periods¹¹, good alertness, and less postoperative nausea¹². However, propofol has

been linked to decreased fertilization rates (FR) in murine studies¹⁴ and has been shown to prevent blastocyst development in one-cell embryos¹⁵. During OPU, SAB is frequently used to induce anesthesia¹⁶. All anesthetic agents are not suitable for ovum pick-up. What type of anesthetic agents are used should be considered. Drugs like gaseous agents (N2O) are harmful to ovum maturity. Halothane/isoflurane should be kept in mind because it negatively influences ovum development. The benefits of SAB include a low risk of heart instability, less respiratory depression, and practical analgesic qualities¹⁷. Frequent nausea, vomiting, psychomimetic symptoms, tachycardia, and a protracted recovery period are drawbacks¹¹. This study aimed to evaluate the impact of general anesthetic technique over SAB in oocyte pickup on the outcome of in vitro fertilization. Objective oif the study was to evaluate the impact of general anesthetic technique over SAB in oocyte pickup on the outcome of in vitro fertilization.

Materials and method:

Study period: June 2022 to January 2024

Study Place: Anam Fertility Entre, Bangladesh.

Study Population: 206 primary infertile women receiving IVF under general anesthesia & SAB made up the participants. All participants had experienced infertility for at least a year.

Variables: Patient files were consulted for demographic information, including age and body mass index (BMI) (kg/ m2), smoking, alcohol usage, and baseline hormone levels, as well as details on the cause and length of infertility.

Inclusion criteria: Availability of anesthesia data was the priority of inclusion criteria.

Exclusion criteria: The exclusion criteria included the use of cocaine, opiates, or glucocorticoids, as well as chronic diseases, bronchoconstrictive diseases, endocrinopathies, cancer, infectious diseases, and autoimmune diseases.

Data Collection: Every patient's file was retrospectively analyzed. The gonadotropin type

(recombinant follicle stimulating hormone [r-FSH] and/or urinary FSH [uFSH]), the starting dose of gonadotrophin used for COS, the type of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) (recombinant or urinary), and the presence of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) were all noted.

Data Analysis: Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows (SPSS 20) was used. A 'p'

value of less than < 0.05 was statistically significant.

Results:

The SAB and GA mean ages were 31.94 ± 5.91 and 31.73 ± 4.81 , respectively. Age, BMI, the length of infertility, the prior IVF cycle (if used), and baseline hormone levels were evenly distributed among the groups.

	SAB group	GA group	P value
Age	31.94 ± 5.91	31.73 ±4.81	0.2
BMI	34.96 ± 6.1	34.51 ± 5.41	0.1
Duration of Infertility	25.8 ± 4.79	25.12 ± 4.5	0.6
Previous IVF cycle	6.46 ± 4.58	7.13 ± 3.65	0.3
Sperm Parameters	60.52 ± 60.81	65.87 ± 88.23	0.1
Smoking use	16/217 (7.4%)	5/60 (8.3%)	0.2
Alcohol habits	1/217 (0.5%)	0/60 (0%	0.1

Table 1: Baseline demographic	features of the group
-------------------------------	-----------------------

All women received recombinant HCG and underwent the gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist procedure for pituitary gland down-regulation. Both r-FSH and u-FSH were used to stimulate most women (71.8%). The kind of gonadotropins, the first dosage of r-FSH, and the initial dose of u-FSH did not substantially differ across the groups regarding the stimulation parameters. The two groups shared a comparable level of OHSS and poor ovarian response. Anesthesia lasted for 27.28 \pm 12.15 minutes in the SAB group and 24.16 \pm 8.49 minutes in the GA group.

 Table 2: Ovarian stimulation characteristics and anesthesia-related parameters

	SAB group	GA group	P value
Type of gonadotrophins			
r-FSH (%)	29.5%	25%	0.7
u-FSH + þ r-FSH	70.5%	75%	0.1
Starting dose of r-FSH	249.02 ± 62.40	232.91 ± 64.35	0.1
Starting dose of u-FSH	132.18 ± 42.46	140.55 ± 39.27	0.1
Poor ovarian response (n%)	33.3%	30.5%	0.7
Presence of OHSS (n%)	4.1%	3.3%	0.6
Duration of anesthesia (min)	27.28 ± 12.15	24.16 ± 8.49	0.1
Median dose of anesthetic drugs (mg)	156.08 ± 47.37	88.03 ± 28.34	0.1

Testicular sperm extraction failed in 7 patients; after OPU, no oocytes were obtained in 24, and only immature oocytes were collected in 9 individuals. Compared to the SAB group, the FR in the GA group was significantly lower (40.49 32.89%) (p 14 0.013). Clinical pregnancy (17.1% of the SAB group, 10% of the GA group, and take-home baby rates (15.8% in the SAB group, 7.5% in the GA group.)

	SAB group	GA group	P value
FR (%)	54.65 ± 32.73	40.49 ± 32.89	0.005
Implantation (n%)	22.8%	10%	0,1
Clinical pregnancy (n%)	17.1%	10%	0.3
Take home baby (n%)	15.8%	7.5%	0.4

Table 3: The effects of anesthetic drugs on the success of IVF.

GA administration had a harmful predictive effect on normal FRs when age, BMI, the cause of infertility, the percentage of sperm motility, fast progressive sperm motility (grade A percentage), and the length of anesthesia were taken into account (p = 0.01, $\beta = -1.08$, OR [95% CI] = 0.33 [0.14e0.77]). Endometriosis was another factor that negatively predicted FRs (p = 0.028). The predictive effects of the anesthetic drugs on FRs are displayed in Table 4.

	β	p value	OR
Endometriosis	Not applicable	0.28	Not applicable
PCOS	0.11	0.11	1.12
Unexplain	0.19	0.59	1.21
DOR	0.67	0.58	1.96
Tubul	0.67	0.52	1.962
Propofol	-0.35	0.28	0.7
Ketamine	-1.08	0.01	0.33

Table 4: Predictive effect of anesthetic agents on fertilization rate

Discussion:

Contradictory findings were reported in earlier investigations of SAB's impact on FRs^{8,12}. The current investigation's results, which align with those of a study by Alsalili et al.18, did not show a relationship between SAB and low FRs. In contrast to the current work, propofol has been shown to have deleterious effects on FRs that are dose- and time-dependent in mice 7,8. Ben-Shlomo et al.19 found that SABdid does not negatively impact human fertility or embryo quality. When compared to FRs recorded using lidocaine and prilocaine, the use of GA appeared to be associated with lower FRs in another study²⁰. Equivalent IVF results after SAB, no anesthetic regimens were administered²¹, and equivalent FRs in the SAB groups were found^{1,22}. Contradictory findings were reported in earlier investigations of SAB's impact on FRs^{8,18}. The current investigation's results, which align with

13

those of a study by Alsalili *et al.*¹⁸, did not show a relationship between SAB and low FRs.

In contrast to the current work, SAB has been shown to have deleterious effects on FRs that are dose- and time-dependent in mice^{7,8}. Ben-Shlomo et al.¹⁹ found that propofol did not negatively impact human fertility or embryo quality. Compared to FRs recorded using lidocaine and prilocaine, GA appeared to be associated with lower FRs in another study²⁰. Equivalent IVF results after GA and no anesthetic regimens were administered²¹, and equivalent FRs in the SAB groups were found1,²². Due to the prolonged exposure of the drug during GA on oocytes, a longer action time may be one of the causes of the deleterious effect of GA on FR. The time the oocytes are exposed to anesthetic drugs is reduced when SABare is delivered combined rather than GA alone¹¹.

The length of sedation and the details of oocyte retrieval were not associated with FRs in the current investigation. Additionally, we found no correlation between more extended periods of anesthesia (>30 min) and take-home baby rates, embryo quality, normal FR, or oocyte retrieval parameters. The rates of clinical and implantation pregnancy after prolonged anesthesia, however, were lower than those after shorter periods. The length of sedation and the total amount of drug given in SAB were unrelated to human ovulation and embryo quality, which is consistent with our findings¹⁹.

According to Janssenswillen et al.3, SAB was detrimental to subsequent embryo cleavage and development up to the blastocyst state in mice. In our investigation, none of the patient groups had anesthesia for more than 30 minutes on average. The latter might explain why FRs were not related to anesthetic duration. The increased local anesthetic drug in SAB levels in human follicular fluid was shown to be closely associated with the total dosage of the drug delivered in earlier research^{3,24,25}. The buildup of anesthetic drugs in follicular fluid and their potential effects on the quality and fertility of oocytes have led some to recommend that the OPU procedure be maintained as brief as feasible²⁴. According to prior research⁸, the detrimental effects of dose- and time-dependent anesthetic drugs may be the cause of the unfavorable effects of prolonged anesthesia duration on implantation and clinical pregnancy rates.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, FRs may be impacted by the anesthetic drug in GA used during OPU. Two different anesthetic regimens, however, don't seem to be connected to variations in implantation, clinical pregnancy, and take-home baby rates. Anesthesia should not last more than 30 minutes since a more extended period of anesthesia is linked to reduced chances of clinical pregnancy and implantation. It is essential to conduct a larger sample size and prospective randomized controlled studies to assess how anesthetic medications affect the success of IVF.

References:

1. A. Azmude, S. Agha'amou, F. Yousefshahi et al., "Pregnancy outcome using general anesthesia versus spinal anesthesia for in vitro fertilization," Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 239–242, 2013.

2. S. Aghaamoo, A. Azmoodeh, F. Yousefshahi et al., "Does spinal analgesia have advantage over general anesthesia for achieving success in in-vitro fertilization?" Oman Medical Journal, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 97–101, 2014.

3. E. H. Y. Ng, O. S. Tang, D. K. C. Chui, and P. C. Ho, "A prospective, randomized, doubleblind and placebo-controlled study to assess the efficacy of paracervical block in the pain relief during egg collection in IVF," Human Reproduction, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 2783–2787, 1999.

4. A. Cerne, C. Bergh, K. Borg et al., "Preovarian block versus paracervical block for oocyte retrieval," Human Reproduction, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 2916–2921, 2006.

5. G. Botta, A. D'Angelo, G. D'Ari, G. Merlino, M. Chapman, and G. Grudzinskas, "Epidural anesthesia in an in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer program," Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 187–190, 1995.

6. W. Wilhelm, M. E. Hammadeh, P. F. White, T. Georg, R. Fleser, and A. Biedler, "General anesthesia versus monitored anesthesiacare with remiferitanil for assisted reproductive technologies: effect on pregnancy rate," Journal of Clinical Anesthesia, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2002.

7. F. Christiaens, C. Janssenswillen, A. C. Van Steirteghem, P. Devroey, C. Verbbrgh, and F.

Camu, "Comparison of assisted reproductive technology performance after oocyte retrieval under general anaesthesia (propofol) versus paracervical local anaesthetic block: a casecontrolled study," Human Reproduction, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 2456– 2460, 1998.

8. M. E. Hammadeh, W. Wilhelm, A. Huppert, P. Rosenbaum, and W. Schmidt, "Effects of general

anaesthesia vs. sedation on fertilization, cleavage and pregnancy rates in an IVF program," Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, vol. 263, no. 1-2, pp. 56–59, 1999.

9. M. N. Milanini, P. D'Onofrio, A. M. Melani Novelli et al., "Localanesthesia versus intravenous infusion of remifentanil forassisted reproductive technologies: a retrospective study," Minerva Ginecologica, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 203–207, 2008.

10. R. D. Vincent Jr., C. H. Syrop, B. J. van Voorhis et al., "An evaluation of the effect of anesthetic technique on reproductive success after laparoscopic pronuclear stage transfer.

Propofol/nitrous oxide versus isoflurane/nitrous oxide," Anesthesiology, vol. 82, no. 2, pp. 352–358, 1995.

11. A. Piroli, R. Marci, F. Marinangeli et al., "Comparison of different anaesthetic methodologies for sedation during in vitro fertilization procedures: effects on patient physiology and oocyte competence," Gynecological Endocrinology, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 796–799, 2012.

12. I. Ben-Shlomo, R. Moskovich, Y. Katz, and E. Shalev, "Midazolam/ketamine sedative combination compared with fentanyl/propofol/ isoflurane anaesthesia for oocyte retrieval," Human Reproduction, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 1757–1759, 1999.

13. H.-W. Huang, F.-J. Huang, F.-T. Kung et al., "Effects of induction anesthetic agents on outcome of assisted reproductive technology: a comparison of propofol and thiopental sodium," Chang Gung Medical Journal, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 513–519, 2000.

14. M. H. Jarahzadeh, R. Jouya, F. S. Mousavi, M. DehghanTezerjani, S. Behdad, and H. R.

Soltani, "Propofol or Thiopental sodium in patients undergoing reproductive assisted technologies: differences in hemodynamic recovery and outcome of oocyte retrieval: a randomized clinical trial," Iranian Journal of Reproductive Medicine, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 77–82, 2014.

15. I. Ben-Shlomo, R. Moskovich, J. Golan, V. Eyali, A. Tabak, and E. Shalev, "The effect of propofol

anaesthesia on oocyte fertilization and early embryo quality," Human Reproduction, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 2197–2199, 2000.

16. M. H. Jarahzadeh, R. Davar, M. R. Hajiesmaeili, A. Entezari, and F. Musavi, "Remifentanil versus fentanyl for assisted reproductive technologies: effect on hemodynamic recovery from anesthesia and Outcome of ART Cycles," International Journal of Fertility and Sterility, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 86–89, 2011.

17. M. Wikland, H. Evers, A.-H. Jakobsson, U. Sandqvist, and P.Sjoblom, "The concentration of lidocaine in follicular fluid when used for paracervical block in a human IVF-ET programme," Human Reproduction, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 920–923, 1990.

18. E. H. Y. Ng, O. S. Tang, D. K. C. Chui, and P. C. Ho, "Comparison of two different doses of lignocaine used in paracervical block during oocyte collection in an IVF programme," Human Reproduction, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 2148–2151, 2000.

19. E. H. Y. Ng, B. Miao, and P. C. Ho, "A randomized double-blind study to compare the effectiveness of three different doses of lignocaine used in paracervical block during oocyte retrieval," Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 8–12, 2003.

20. R. J. Chetkowski and T. E. Nass, "Isofluorane inhibits early mouse embryo development in vitro," Fertility and Sterility, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 171–173, 1988.

21. E. J. E. Lee, A. Bongso, and A. Kumar, "Evaluation of inhalational anaesthetics on murine in vitro fertilization," Annals of the Academy of Medicine Singapore, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 479–485, 1994.

22. M. A. Rosen, M. F. Roizen, E. I. Eger II et al., "The effect of nitrous oxide on in vitro fertilization success rate," Anesthesiology, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 42–44, 1987.

23. G. C. Endler, M. Stout, D. M. Magyar, M. F. Hayes, K. S. Moghissi, and A. G. Sacco, "Follicular

fluid concentrations of thiopental and thiamylal during laparoscopy for oocyte retrieval," Fertility and Sterility, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 828–833, 1987.

24. I. Soussis, O. Boyd, T. Paraschos et al., "Follicular fluid levels of midazolam, fentanyl, and alfentanil during transvaginal oocyte retrieval," Fertility and Sterility, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 1003 1007, 1995.

25. W. E. Paulus, M. Zhang, E. Strehler, I. El-Danasouri, and K. Sterzik, "Influence of acupuncture on the pregnancy rate in patients who undergo assisted reproduction therapy," Fertility and Sterility, vol. 77, no. 4, pp. 721–724, 2002.

26. M. Ho, L.-C. Huang, Y.-Y. Chang et al., "Electroacupuncture reduces uterine artery blood flow impedance in infertilewomen," Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, vol.48, no. 2, pp. 148–151, 2009.

27. E. Stener-Victorin, U. Waldenstrom, L. Nilsson, M. Wikland, " and P. O. Janson, "A prospective randomized study of electroacupuncture versus alfentanil as anaesthesia during oocyte aspiration in in-vitro fertilization," Human Reproduction, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 2480–2484, 1999.

28. L. G. Westergaard, Q. Mao, M. Krogslund, S. Sandrini, S. Lenz, and J. Grinsted, "Acupuncture on the day of embryo transfer significantly improves the reproductive outcome in infertile women: a prospective, randomized trial," Fertility and Sterility, vol. 85, no. 5, pp. 1341–1346, 2006.

29. S. Dieterle, G. Ying, W. Hatzmann, and A. Neuer, "Effect of acupuncture on the outcome of in vitro fertilization and intracytoplasmic sperm injection: a randomized, prospective, controlled clinical study," Fertility and Sterility, vol. 85, no. 5, pp. 1347–1351, 2006. 30. P. Matsota, T. Sidiropoulou, C. Batistaki et al., "Analgesiawith remifentanil versus anesthesia with propofol-alfentanil for transvaginal oocyte retrieval: a randomized trial on their impact on in vitro fertilization outcome," Middle East Journal of Anesthesiology, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 685–692, 2012.

31. F. Christiaens, C. Janssenswillen, C. Verborgh et al., "Propofol concentrations in follicular fluid during general anaesthesia for transvaginal oocyte retrieval," Human Reproduction, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 345–348, 1999.

32. A.-L. Gejervall, K. Lundin, E. Stener-Victorin, and C. Bergh, "Effect of alfentanil dosage during oocyte retrieval on fertilization and embryo quality," European Journal of Obstetrics Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, vol. 150, no. 1, pp. 66–71, 2010.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. You are free to copy, distribute and perform the work. You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor.